

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/970,935

Applicant(s)

Miwa et al.

Examiner

Leslie Wong

Art Unit

1761

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Leslie Wong

(3) _____

(2) Stephen Baxter

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 4, 2002Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: allIdentification of prior art discussed:
that cited.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed applicability of the applied art where Applicant discloses lecithin as a reducing agent on page 13 of the specification.

Applicant will consider amending application to remove reference to lecithin.


(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

LESLIE WONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1761

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required